REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as failing to comply with the written description

requirement.. Since claim 2 was part of the original filing, it is part of the original description and

by definition complies.

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite. Claim 1 has been amended

to clarify the diameters and claim 2 amended to clarify which valve is referred to.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 7 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

McRitchie. Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the needle and seat are both tapered

longitudinally over a distance substantially greater than the diameter. Because of the taper and

the long sealing surfaces, flow can be adjusted very finely. On the other hand, McRitchie's

device uses a non-tapered cylindrical needle and seat and adjustment is accomplished by

selectively occluding air passage 316. While there is arguably a taper on the end of the needle, it

is less than the diameter in axial length. Given the narrow size of this passage, fine adjustment of

flow is difficult at best. Accordingly, the claim as amended patentably distinguishes over the

rejection and references of record.

Claims 2-9 have been amended to depend from claim 1. In addition to being patentable for the

reasons stated above with respect to claim 1, those claims are also patentable for the limitations

contained therein.

-4-

Appl.No. 10/596,801 Amdt.dated April 29, 2009 Reply to Office action of December 31, 2008

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas B. Farrow

Registration No. 28582

Graco Inc.

PO Box 1441

Minneapolis, MN 55440

(612) 623-6769

pto@graco.com

Attachments